arXiv:1403.6288v1 [math.CO] 25 Mar 2014

The Complexity of the Sigma Chromatic Number of Cubic Graphs

Ali Dehghan[a], Mohammad-Reza Sadeghi[a], Arash Ahadi[b]

[a] *Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*

[b] *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran* *

Abstract

The *sigma chromatic number* of a graph G, denoted by $\sigma(G)$, is the minimum number k that the vertices can be partitioned into k disjoint sets $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ such that for every two adjacent vertices u and v there is an index i that u and v have different numbers of neighbors in V_i. We show that, it is **NP**-complete to decide for a given 3-regular graph G, whether $\sigma(G) = 2$. Also, we prove that for every $k \geq 3$, it is **NP**-complete to decide whether $\sigma(G) = k$ for a given graph G. Furthermore, for planar 3-regular graphs with $\sigma = 2$, we show that the problem of minimizing the size of a set is **NP**-hard.

Key words: Sigma coloring; Lucky labeling; Additive coloring; Computational Complexity; Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat; Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat Type 2.
Subject classification: 05C15, 05C20, 68Q25

1 Introduction

In 2004, Karoński, Łuczak and Thomason introduced a new coloring of a graph which is generated via edge labeling [12]. Let $f : E(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ be a labeling of the edges of a graph G by positive integers such that for every two adjacent vertices v and u, $S(v) \neq S(u)$, where $S(v)$ denotes the sum of labels of all edges incident with v. It is conjectured that three integer labels $\{1, 2, 3\}$ are sufficient for every connected graph, except K_2 [12]. Currently the best bound is 5 [11]. It is shown that determining whether a given graph has a weighting of the edges from $\{1, 2\}$ that induces a proper vertex coloring is **NP**-complete [8]. Recently, it was shown that for a given 3-regular graph has a weighting of the edges from $\{a, b\}$, $(a \neq b)$ that induces a proper vertex coloring is **NP**-complete [7].

* *E-mail addresses*: ali_dehghan16@aut.ac.ir, msadeghi@aut.ac.ir, arash_ahadi@mehr.sharif.edu..

Lucky labeling and sigma coloring are two vertex versions of this problem, which were introduced recently by Czerwiński et al. [5] and Chartrand et al. [4]. A *lucky labeling* of a graph G is a function $\ell : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$, such that for every two adjacent vertices v and u of G, $\sum_{w\sim v} \ell(w) \neq \sum_{w\sim u} \ell(w)$ ($x \sim y$ means that x is joined to y). A *lucky number* of G, denoted by $\eta(G)$, is the minimum number k such that G has a lucky labeling $\ell : V(G) \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Similarly, for a graph G, let $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ be a vertex labeling of G. If k labels are used by c, then c is a k-labeling of G. If for every two adjacent vertices v and u of G, $\sum_{w\sim v} c(w) \neq \sum_{w\sim u} c(w)$, then c is called a *sigma coloring* of G. The minimum number of labels required in a sigma coloring is called the *sigma chromatic number* of G and is denoted by $\sigma(G)$. Sigma coloring and lucky labeling have been studied extensively by several authors, for instance see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13]. Note that lucky labeling also, is called an additive labeling of graph.

Remark 1 In any sigma coloring of a graph G with $\sigma(G)$ labels, we can use the set of labels $\{s^i : 0 \leq i \leq \sigma(G) - 1\}$, where s is a sufficiently large number (it is enough to put $s \geq \Delta(G) + 1$). So the sigma chromatic number is the minimum number k that the vertices of graph can be partitioned into k pairwise disjoint sets $V_1, \cdots, V_k$ such that for every edge uv, there is an index i that u and v have different numbers of neighbors in V_i.

Remark 2 The difference between sigma chromatic number and lucky number can be arbitrary large, for instance, there are graphs with $\sigma \leq 3$ and arbitrary large lucky number. For instance, for every k consider a complete graph with $\binom{k+2}{2}$ vertices; next join each vertex to k new isolated vertices. We call this graph by G that has $k\binom{k+2}{2}$ leaves. The equality $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = k$ ($n_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) has $\binom{k+2}{2}$ roots. We show $\sigma(G) \leq 3$. To do this, color the vertices of $K_{\binom{k+2}{2}}$ by one color and color the leaves by using the roots to make a sigma coloring. On the other hand, let ℓ be a lucky labeling of G, for every $u, v \in K_{\binom{k+2}{2}}$ we have:

$$\sum_{\substack{w\sim v \\ w \text{ is leave}}} \ell(w) + \ell(u) \neq \sum_{\substack{w\sim u \\ w \text{ is leave}}} \ell(w) + \ell(v),$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\sum_{\substack{w\sim v \\ w \text{ is leave}}} \ell(w) - \ell(v) \neq \sum_{\substack{w\sim u \\ w \text{ is leave}}} \ell(w) - \ell(u).$$

$\sum_{\substack{w\sim v \\ w \text{ is leave}}} \ell(w) - \ell(v)$ is one of the $(k+1)(\eta(G)-1)+1$ different numbers. Thus $(k+1)(\eta(G)-1)+1 \geq \binom{k+2}{2}$, therefore, $\eta(G) \geq k/2$.

Note that $\sigma(G) = \eta(G) = 1$ if and only if every two adjacent vertices of G have

different degrees. We know $\sigma(G) \leq \chi(G)$ [4], but it was conjectured that for every graph G, $\eta(G) \leq \chi(G)$ [5].

Conjecture 1 [Additive Coloring Conjecture [5]] *For every graph G, $\eta(G) \leq \chi(G)$.*

It is not known whether this conjecture is true for bipartite graphs. It is not even known if $\eta(G)$ is bounded for bipartite graphs. It was proved that $\eta(G) \leq 468$ for every planar graph G [10].

It was shown that, it is **NP**-complete to decide for a given planar 3-colorable graph G, whether $\eta(G) = 2$ [1]. It was conjectured that it is **NP**-complete to decide whether $\eta(G) = 2$ for a given 3-regular graph G [1]. In this work we prove this conjecture.

Theorem 1 *It is* **NP***-complete to decide for a given 3-regular graph G, whether $\sigma(G) = 2$.*

Since for every regular graph G, $\sigma(G) = 2$ if and only if $\eta(G) = 2$, therefore:

Theorem 2 *It is* **NP***-complete to decide for a given 3-regular graph G, whether $\eta(G) = 2$.*

It was proved that, for every $k \geq 2$, it is **NP**-complete to decide whether $\eta(G) = k$ for a given graph G [1]. Here, we present the following:

Theorem 3 *For every $k \geq 3$, it is* **NP***-complete to decide whether $\sigma(G) = k$ for a given graph G.*

Consider the problem of partitioning the vertices of G into $\sigma(G)$ sets, such that the vertices of each set have a same label; this partitioning is a sigma coloring and some sets have the smallest possible size. We show that for a given planar 3-regular graph with sigma chromatic number two the following problem is **NP**-complete.

Problem Θ.
INSTANCE: A planar 3-regular graph G and the number $0 < r < 1$.
QUESTION: Does G has a sigma coloring c with $\sigma(G)$ colors, such that there is a set with at most $r|V(G)|$ vertices with a same label?
PROMISE: $\sigma(G) = 2$.

Theorem 4 *Problem* Θ *is* **NP***-complete.*

In the proof of Theorem 4 we use from two variants of SAT: *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* and *Cubic Planar 1-In-3 3-Sat* and we will show that *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat Type 2* is in **P**.

1.1 Notation

For a vertex v of G, let $N(v)$ denotes the neighborhood of v (the set of vertices adjacent to v). Let $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ denote the closed neighborhood of v. Also, for every $v \in V(G)$, $d(v)$ denotes the degree of v. For a natural number k, a graph G is called a *k-regular graph* if $d(v) = k$, for each $v \in V(G)$. We denote the maximum degree of G by $\Delta(G)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a *proper vertex k-coloring* of G is a function $c : V(G) \longrightarrow \{1, \ldots, k\}$, such that if $u, v \in V(G)$ are adjacent, then $c(u)$ and $c(v)$ are different. The smallest integer k such that G has a proper vertex k-coloring is called the *chromatic number* of G and denoted by $\chi(G)$. We follow [16] for terminology and notation which are not defined here.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let Ψ be a 3-SAT formula with clauses $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$ and variables $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. It is shown that the following problem is **NP**-complete [9].

Not-All-Equal 3-Sat .
INSTANCE: Set X of variables, collection C of clauses over X such that each clause $c \in C$ has $\mid c \mid = 3$.
QUESTION: Is there a truth assignment for X such that each clause in C has at least one true literal and at least one false literal?

Since for every regular graph G, $\sigma(G) = 2$ if and only if $\eta(G) = 2$, thus we prove the theorem for the lucky labeling.

We reduce *Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* to our problem in polynomial time. Consider an instance Ψ with variables $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and clauses $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$. We transform this into a 3-regular graph G_Ψ such that $\eta(G_\Psi) = 2$ if and only if Ψ has a Not-All-Equal truth assignment. We use three auxiliary graphs H_x, I_{c_j} and T. I_{c_j} is the cycle $c_j^1 c_j^2 c_j^3$. H_x and T are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The graph G_Ψ has a copy of H_x for each variable $x \in X$ and a copy of I_{c_j} for each clause $c_j \in C$. For each clause $c_j = y \vee z \vee w$, where $y, w, z \in X \cup \neg X$ add the edges $c_j^1 y^j$, $c_j^2 z^j$ and $c_j^3 w^j$. Finally, for every vertex v with $d(v) < 3$, put a copy of T and add edge between v and t. Repeat this procedure one more time to obtain a 3-regular graph G_Ψ.



Figure 1: The graph H_x. In every lucky labeling $\ell : V(H_x) \to \{1, 2\}$, the set of black vertices have a same label and also the set of white vertices have a same label and these two labels are different (with respect to the symmetry).

We next discuss basic properties of the graph G_Ψ. Assume that $\eta(G_\Psi) = 2$ and $\ell : V(G_\Psi) \to \{1, 2\}$ is a lucky labeling.

Fact 1 For every $x \in X$, in the subgraph H_x, $\ell(x) \neq \ell(\neg x)$.

Proof. Suppose, by way of contradiction, $\ell(x) = \ell(\neg x)$, consequently $\ell\,|_{\{x',x'',x'''\}}$ is a lucky labeling for the odd cycle $x'x''x'''$, but the lucky number of every odd cycle is 3. It is a contradiction. ♠

If u and v are two vertices in a graph G_Ψ such that $N[u] = N[v]$, then $\ell(u) \neq \ell(v)$. As a consequence, we have the following fact.

Fact 2 In every copy of S and T (see Figure 2), the set of black vertices have a same label and also the set of white vertices have a same label and these two labels are different (with respect to the symmetry).

Proof. In the subgraphs S, first assume that $\ell(s_1) = 2$, since $N[s_3] = N[s_4]$ so $\ell(s_3) \neq \ell(s_4)$. Without loss of generality suppose that $\ell(s_3) = 2$, $\ell(s_4) = 1$. If $\ell(s_5) = 2$, then $\ell\,|_{\{s_2,s_3,s_4\}}$ is a lucky labeling for the odd cycle $s_2s_3s_4$, but it is a contradiction, hence



Figure 2: Two auxiliary graphs T and S. For every lucky labeling of T with the labels $\{1,2\}$, the set of black vertices have a same label and also the set of white vertices have a same label and these two labels are different (with respect to the symmetry). This fact is also true for S.

$\ell(s_5) = 1$. If $\ell(s_2) = 2$, then $\sum_{w\sim s_2} \ell(w) = \sum_{w\sim s_4} \ell(w)$, so $\ell(s_2) = 1$. Also if $\ell(s_6) = 1$, then $\sum_{w\sim s_4} \ell(w) = \sum_{w\sim s_5} \ell(w)$, so $\ell(s_6) = 2$. By a similar argument if $\ell(s_1) = 1$, then $\ell(s_6) = 1$. Therefore, the set of black vertices have a same label and also the set of white vertices have a same label and these two labels are different. For every subgraph T we have a similar argument. ♠

By Fact 1, Fact 2 and since S is a subgraph of H_x, without loss of generality, for every $x \in X$, in the subgraph H_x, the set of black vertices have a same label and also the set of white vertices have a same label and these two labels are different. In other words, $\ell(x) = \ell(x^1) = \ldots = \ell(x^k) \neq \ell(\neg x) = \ell(\neg x^1) = \ldots = \ell(\neg x^k)$. For an arbitrary clause $c_j = y \vee z \vee w$, where $y, w, z \in X \cup \neg X$, assume that $\ell(y^j) = \ell(z^j) = \ell(w^j)$, consequently $\ell \mid_{\{c_j^1, c_j^2, c_j^3\}}$ is a lucky labeling for the odd cycle $c_j^1 c_j^2 c_j^3$, but the lucky number of an odd cycle is 3. This is a contradiction. Hence, we have the following fact:

Fact 3 For every clause $c_j = y \vee z \vee w$, where $y, z, w \in X \cup \neg X$, we have $\{\ell(y^j), \ell(z^j), \ell(w^j)\} = \{1, 2\}$.

First, assume that $\eta(G_\Psi) = 2$ and let $\ell : V(G_\Psi) \to \{1, 2\}$ be a lucky labeling. We present a Not-All-Equal satisfying assignment $\Gamma : X \to \{true, false\}$ for Ψ. Now put $\Gamma(x_i) = true$ if and only if $\ell(x_i) = 1$. By Fact 1, for every x_i we have $\ell(x_i) \neq \ell(\neg x_i)$ so it is impossible that both $\ell(x_i)$ and $\ell(\neg x_i)$ are 1. For every $c_j = y \vee z \vee w$ by Fact 3, $\mid \{\ell(y^j), \ell(z^j), \ell(w^j)\} \mid = 2$; so at least one of the literals y, z, w is true and at least one of the literals is false. On the other hand, suppose that Ψ is satisfiable with the satisfying assignment $\Gamma : X \to \{true, false\}$. We present the lucky labeling ℓ for G_Ψ from the set $\{1, 2\}$. By attention to the Figure 1, 2. In each copy of H_x, if $\Gamma(x) = true$, label the set of black vertices by 1 and label the set of white vertices by 2. Also if $\Gamma(x) = false$, label

the set of black vertices by 2 and label the set of white vertices by 1. For every copy of T, label t with the label different from $\ell(t')$, where t' is the unique neighbor of t which is not in T. This determine the labels of all other vertices of T. By Fact 3, for every $c_j \in C$, we can easily determine the label of c_j^1, c_j^2, c_j^3. By an easy counting one can see that ℓ is a lucky labeling.

3 Proof of Theorem 3

We reduce 3-colorability of 4-regular graphs to our problem. It was shown this problem is NP-complete [6].

For a given 4-regular graph G, we construct a regular graph G^* such that $\chi(G^*) \leq k$ if and only if G is 3-colorable (step 1). Next, we construct G^{**} such that $\sigma(G^{**}) \leq k$ if and only if $\chi(G^*) \leq k$ (step 2).

Step 1. If $k = 3$ we can assume that $G^* \cong G$ and if $k > 3$ we use from the construction which is presented in Step 1 of Theorem 2 in [1].



Figure 3: The graph G^{**}.

Step 2. Suppose that G^* is a regular graph with n vertices. For every α, $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ consider a copy of complete graph $K^{(\alpha)}_{k^2-k+1}$, with the vertices $\{y^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} : 1 \leq \beta, \gamma \leq k-1\} \cup$

$\{y^{\alpha}_{k\gamma} : 1 \leq \gamma \leq k\}$. Next consider $k-1$ isolated vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ and join every $y^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ to v_β, also consider a copy of G^* with the vertices $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and join every $y^{\alpha}_{k\gamma}$ to x_α. Finally put n isolated vertices $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ and join every x_α to z_α. Name the constructed graph G^{**} (see Figure 3).

First, note that $\sigma(G^{**}) \geq k$, since in every sigma coloring of G^{**} the labels of $y^1_{k1}, y^1_{k2}, \cdots, y^1_{kk}$ are different. Let $c : V(G^{**}) \to \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be a sigma coloring. For every α and β, the labels of $y^{\alpha}_{\beta 1}, \cdots, y^{\alpha}_{\beta(k-1)}$ are different. We claim that, the labels of $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ are different (Property A). To the contrary suppose that $c(v_\beta) = c(v_{\beta'})$, then the labels of the vertices in $\{y^1_{\beta\gamma} : 1 \leq \gamma \leq k-1\} \cup \{y^1_{\beta\gamma'} : 1 \leq \gamma' \leq k-1\}$ must be different, so we need at least $2(k-1)$ labels. Similarly, for every i and γ, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq \gamma \leq k-1$, we can see that $c(v_\beta) \neq c(x_i)$ (Property B). First, suppose that $\sigma(G^{**}) = k$, by Properties A and B, we have $c(x_1) = \ldots = c(x_n)$. Consider the set of vertices $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, since G^* is regular and for every α we have $\mid \{c(y^{\alpha}_{k\gamma}) : 1 \leq \gamma \leq k\} \mid = k$, therefore, the function $c' : \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \longmapsto \mathbb{N}$, where $c'(x_\alpha) = c(z_\alpha)$, is a proper vertex coloring of G^*, so $\chi(G^*) \leq k$. On the other hand, let $\chi(G^*) \leq k$ and $c' : \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$ be a proper vertex coloring G^*. Suppose that s is a sufficiently large number, we give a sigma coloring c for G^{**}, by the labels $\{s, s^2, \ldots, s^k\}$, that is:

$$c(x_\alpha) = s^k, \quad c(v_\beta) = s^\beta, \quad c(y^{\alpha}_{k\gamma}) = s^\gamma, \quad c(z_\alpha) = s^{c'(x_\alpha)},$$

and for every α, β with $\beta \neq k$, label the vertices $y^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ such that $\{c(y^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}) : 1 \leq \gamma \leq k\} = \{s^i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \setminus \{s^\beta\}$. It is not hard to check that c is a sigma coloring of G^{**}.

4 Proof of Theorem 4

First consider the following problem.

Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat.
INSTANCE: Set X of variables, collection C of clauses over X such that each clause $c \in C$ has $\mid c \mid = 3$ and the following graph obtained from 3-Sat is planar. The graph has one vertex for each variable, one vertex for each clause; all variable vertices are connected in a simple cycle and each clause vertex is connected by an edge to variable vertices corresponding to the literals present in the clause.
QUESTION: Is there a Not-All-Equal truth assignment for X?

It was proved [15] that *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* is in **P** by a reduction to a known problem in **P**, namely Planar(Simple) MaxCut. Their reduction used only local replacement. Given an instance of *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* with k clauses, they transformed

it in polynomial time into an instance Q of MaxCut with $9k$ vertices as follows. For each variable x forming a total of n_x literals in the k clauses, they set up a cycle of $2n_x$, vertices(and $2n_x$ edges); alternating vertices represent complemented and uncomplemented literals. For each clause, they set up a triangle, where each vertex of the triangle is connected by an edge to the (complement of the) corresponding literal vertex. They proved that $11k$ is the maximum attainable cut sum if and only if *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* is satisfied. Note that the cycle that contains all variables has not any rule in the construction of Q. So, if we replace an instance of *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* with an instance of the following problem; the proof remains correct. Therefore, the following problem is in **P**.

Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat Type 2.
INSTANCE: Set X of variables, collection C of clauses over X such that each clause $c \in C$ has $\mid c \mid = 3$ and the bipartite graph obtained by linking a variable and a clause if and only if the variable appears in the clause, is planar.
QUESTION: Is there a Not-All-Equal truth assignment for X?

On the other hand, Moore and Robson [14] proved that the following problem is **NP**-complete.

Cubic Planar 1-In-3 3-Sat.
INSTANCE: Set X of variables, collection C of clauses over X such that each clause $c \in C$ has $\mid c \mid = 3$ and every variable appears in exactly three clauses, there is no negation in the formula, and the bipartite graph obtained by linking a variable and a clause if and only if the variable appears in the clause, is planar.
QUESTION: Is there a truth assignment for X such that each clause in C has exactly one true literal?

We reduce *Cubic Planar 1-In-3 3-Sat* to our problem in polynomial time. Consider an instance Φ with the set of $3n$ variables X and the set of $3n$ clauses C. By the polynomial time algorithm which is presented in [15] (they proved that *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat Type 2* is in **P**), we check whether Φ has a Not-All-Equal truth assignment. If Φ does not have a Not-All-Equal truth assignment, then it does not have a 1-in-3 truth assignment. Otherwise, we construct G_Φ. We use two auxiliary graphs A_x and B_c. A_x is shown in Figure 4 and B_c is a complete graph K_3. Let H_Φ be the graph obtained by linking a variable and a clause if and only if the variable appears in the clause. Replace each variable $x \in X$ by A_x and replace each clause $c \in C$ by B_c. Call this planar 3-regular graph G_Φ. This graph has $138n$ vertices.



Figure 4: The auxiliary graph A_x. For every sigma coloring of A_x with the labels $\{\alpha, \beta\}$, the set of black vertices have a same label and also the set of white vertices have a same label and these two labels are different (with respect to the symmetry).

First, we show $\sigma(G_\Phi) = 2$. Φ has a Not-All-Equal truth assignment $\Gamma : X \to \{true, false\}$. We present a sigma coloring c for G_Φ, with labels α, β. Put $c(x) = \beta$ if and only if $\Gamma(x) = true$, the labels of other vertices of A_x are determined. For each $c \in C$, since Γ is Not-All-Equal, one can easily label the vertices of B_c.

We prove that for every sigma coloring with $\sigma(G_\Phi)$ colors, for every part P we have $|P|/|V(G_\Phi)| \geq \frac{62}{138}$ and the equality holds for a part, if and only if Φ has a truth assignment such that each clause in C has exactly one true literal. Assume that $\ell : V(G_\Phi) \to \{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a sigma coloring . By Fact 2 [Fact 2 in the proof of Theorem 1, note that this fact is also true for sigma coloring of graphs], in the subgraph A_x, the label of x, forces the labels of all other vertices; therefore, the labels of exactly 15 vertices, except x, are equal to $\ell(x)$. Also in every B_c, at least one vertex has label β and also at least one vertex of their neighbors in $\cup_{x \in X} A_x$ has label β. Since for every $x \in X$, A_x is adjacent to three B_cs. So at least $1/3$ of variables are $true$. Thus,

$$|\ell^{-1}(\beta)| = |\ell^{-1}(\beta) \cap \bigcup_c B_c| + |\ell^{-1}(\beta) \cap \bigcup_x A_x| \geq (1 \times 3n) + (16 \times 2n + 27 \times n) = 62n.$$

If the equality holds, then Φ has an 1-In-3 satisfying assignment with the satisfying assignment $\Gamma : X \to \{true, false\}$, when $\Gamma(x) = true$ if and only is $\ell(x) = \beta$. Next, suppose that Φ has 1-In-3 satisfying assignment with the satisfying assignment $\Gamma : X \to \{true, false\}$. We present the sigma coloring σ for G_Φ from the set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ such that exactly $62n$ vertices have the label β. Put $\ell(x) = \beta$ if and only if $\Gamma(x) = true$, the labels

of other vertices of A_x are determined. Now, for each $c \in C$, exactly one of the vertices of B_c has a neighbor in $\cup_x A_x$ with the label β. Name this vertex of B_c by v_c. Label v_c by α and label two another vertices of B_c by different labels. one can see that σ is a sigma coloring.

5 Conclusion

• **NP**-completeness of problem Θ is proved for $r = 62/138$. It would be nice to make a comment about different r's. For $r \geq 1/2$ the problem is trivial and for other r we may add copies of complete $K_{3,3}$ to G_Φ.
• Clearly, the presented polynomial time algorithm for *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* is also correct for *Planar Not-All-Equal 3-Sat Type 2*. So type 2 is in **P** [15].
• In Theorem 1, we used *Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* . The planar version of *Not-All-Equal 3-Sat* is in **P** [15], so the computational complexity of σ for planar 3-regular graphs remains unsolved. Our reductions dose not give any hardness result about computing η and σ. Any hardness result can be interesting to work on.

References

[1] A. Ahadi, A. Dehghan, M. Kazemi, and E. Mollaahmadi. Computation of lucky number of planar graphs is NP-hard. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 112(4):109—112, 2012.

[2] S. Akbari, M. Ghanbari, R. Manaviyat, and S. Zare. On the lucky choice number of graphs. *Graphs Combin.*, 29(2):157–163, 2013.

[3] M. Borowiecki, J. Grytczuk, and M Pilśniak. Coloring chip configurations on graphs and digraphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 112(1–2):1—4, 2012.

[4] Gary Chartrand, Futaba Okamoto, and Ping Zhang. The sigma chromatic number of a graph. *Graphs Combin.*, 26(6):755–773, 2010.

[5] Sebastian Czerwiński, Jarosław Grytczuk, and Wiktor Żelazny. Lucky labelings of graphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 109(18):1078–1081, 2009.

[6] David P. Dailey. Uniqueness of colorability and colorability of planar 4-regular graphs are NP-complete. *Discrete Math.*, 30(3):289–293, 1980.

[7] Ali Dehghan, Mohammad-Reza Sadeghi, and Arash Ahadi. Algorithmic complexity of proper labeling problems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 495:25–36, 2013.

[8] Andrzej Dudek and David Wajc. On the complexity of vertex-coloring edge-weightings. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 13(3):45–50, 2011.

[9] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1979.

[10] J. Grytczuk, T. Bartnicki, S. Czerwiński, B. Bosek, G. Matecki, and W. Żelazny. Additive colorings of planar graphs. *Graphs Combin. (to appear)*.

[11] Maciej Kalkowski, Michał Karoński, and Florian Pfender. Vertex-coloring edge-weightings: towards the 1-2-3-conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 100(3):347–349, 2010.

[12] Michał Karoński, Tomasz Łuczak, and Andrew Thomason. Edge weights and vertex colours. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 91(1):151–157, 2004.

[13] Michał Lasoń. A generalization of combinatorial Nullstellensatz. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 17(1):Note 32, 6, 2010.

[14] C. Moore and J. M. Robson. Hard tiling problems with simple tiles. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 26(4):573–590, 2001.

[15] B. M. Moret. Planar NAE3SAT is in P. *SIGACT News 19, 2*, pages 51–54, 1988.

[16] Douglas B. West. *Introduction to graph theory*. Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.